



**UWSA Council  
Meeting Minutes**

**Thursday, January 30th, 2014, 6:00 PM  
Toldo 203**

**CM2014/01/30**

<b>ITEM</b>	<b>DESCRIPTION</b>	<b>ACTION</b>
<b>1</b>	<b>Call to Order</b>	Chris Rudnicki
<b>2</b>	<b>Chair's Business</b>	Chris Rudnicki
<b>3</b>	<b>Approval of Agenda</b>	
<b>4</b>	<b>Approval of Minutes</b>	

Minutes received, not yet edited.

Missing: January 16<sup>th</sup>; November 27<sup>th</sup>.

**5 Presentations and Associated Motions**

<b>5.1</b>	<b>Student Counseling Centre</b>	Mohsan Beg's Delegate
------------	----------------------------------	--------------------------

We provide group psycho therapy, crisis management , case management, supervision to graduate students, and a variety of other student oriented services.

Students we see come from a diverse range, from all across the university community. We see students from all different faculties, who see us for a diverse number of reasons, including mood-anxiety disorders. Increasingly we are seeing students with more complex mental health issues. This is a Canadian and North American trend.

A high number of suicide risk. I will say that we have each time we have them identified, a significant amount 28 % , and 6% have daily suicidal ideation.

The reason I am here is to ask for the students' help.

No increase in past 10 year and since last year alone we have had a 37% increase in usage.

The Organization governing SCCs suggests 1 FTE per 1500 students, we are hovering at 1 FTE per 4100-



**UWSA Council  
Meeting Minutes  
Thursday, January 30th, 2014, 6:00 PM  
Toldo 203**

CM2014/01/30

4200 students.

Have a variety of options for referenda to increase our staff ranging from part time or the ideal, one full time psychologist. Cost would be anywhere from 5-14 dollars depending on the option

Questions:

Chair:

Osman: Thank you for the presentation and I'd like to congratulate you on your awesome service. I'd like you to address immediate services. Is there anything we can put in place immediately to aid on your end?

Presenter: One of the challenges is we try to refer to services off campus. We also utilize Peer Support Centre.

El Cheikh: I see the need for this. Out of curiosity, which 9 months would part time be off?

Presenter: the summer.

Brent: I am a res rep, we had psych in res, and it was really helpful, and it is great working with you, but we lost the one person in our program. She used to be amazing. If we were to vote this in, would this mean we could get one in for residence?

Presenter: Potentially, we are open to all suggestions, primarily if it is meeting student needs. Currently we are spread out. We have an office in the second floor of the CAW, in addition my office is in the basement.

How much money would you need for the remainder of the year?



**UWSA Council  
Meeting Minutes  
Thursday, January 30th, 2014, 6:00 PM  
Toldo 203**

**CM2014/01/30**

Presenter Can't answer, Director could.

DeJong: Why 7.58 per student?

Presenter: Would fund entirely cost of one psychologist.

Farah: have to take appointment other than PSC. With increase, would wait times be cut drastically? If students are facing such serious issues students can't wait.

Presenter: yes, there is crisis appointment. There is one psychologist currently dealing with crisis. The other issue is students might be close to point of crisis but might be waiting 2-3 weeks. Main issue is availability, we don't have enough capacity. Peer Support has been a help, only issue is PSC is not professional psychologists. PSC is designed to be short term triage for students.

Osman: do things like Paws for Stress help?

Presenter: Might help some, some are more severe. Can't really say for sure.

Jessica Bukovac: Would students have to pay for these services, or could it be through the UWSA health and dental plan?

Presenter: Wouldn't know the specifics, don't know if it's a possibility but it would be ideal.

Shaista Akbar: Plan does include some coverage for psychology, 80% up to maximum of 300 dollars per year. Somewhat subsidized. Don't know if it's possible to combine. Psychologists have a high cost though, so this might not cover much.



**UWSA Council  
Meeting Minutes  
Thursday, January 30th, 2014, 6:00 PM  
Toldo 203**

**CM2014/01/30**

Presenter: per hour a psychologist costs on average 150-180. So while 300 is good I don't know if it's adequate.

Hussein Zarif: Would two part-time be more beneficial to one full time psychologist?

Presenter: not enough physical space. So it's good on one level but not so good on another level.

Osman Raza: Would we need to prove today?

Chair: Could be in new business or task it to executives. Is there anyone who can handle this?

Omar Shahid: Akbar(VP External) often has contact with Student Counseling Services, he can take care of this.

Chair: Would look for Akbar to accept responsibility.

Akbar: Absolutely and will discuss a motion to bring forward at next council meeting.

Chair: Any more questions? Seeing none, Thank you for your time.

*Presenter exits, to applause from council and members.*

- |            |  |                                  |
|------------|--|----------------------------------|
| <b>5.2</b> | <del>President's Report</del> – tabled | Rob Crawford                     |
| <b>5.3</b> | <del>CFS Presentation</del>            | Mohammad Akbar                   |
| <b>5.4</b> | CRO Hiring                             | Shaista Akbar<br>Richard Kirkham |

BIRT Shauhrat Gill be ratified by the UWSA Council as Chief Returning Officer until April 30, 2014.

BIFRT direct the Board to ensure that the CRO's pay be pro-rated from the date of the passing of this motion relative to the remaining responsibilities of the



**UWSA Council  
Meeting Minutes  
Thursday, January 30th, 2014, 6:00 PM  
Toldo 203**

**CM2014/01/30**

CRO until April 30, 2014.

Richard Kirkham, Law Representative presents

Kirkham: Hi I'm Richard one of the law reps. I'm giving the presentation on hiring committee. Committee was struck last semester, initially 4 volunteers. 3 ended up coming together and doing the work involved, which was going through a short list of resumes, which were 5, we picked 3, and picked 3 questions we wanted to ask. Came up with a timeframe for the interview for this semester. Had interviews last Thursday. Two individuals, we had to decide between. Had a meeting with both of them had to decide on a balance between the two, in terms of qualification, experience and answers to questions. We prioritized good judgement, experience in this sort of role, ability to delegate, and involvement in campus community.

In the end unanimously went with Shauhrat Gill. We are confident that he will provide oversight over our upcoming election.

Chair: Any questions?

Osman: First off congratulations on bringing this forward. Does the appointment take place immediately?

Shaista Akbar: Yes.

Osman: What is Salary?

Omar Shahid: We have budgeted \$4000.

Osman: Do we appoint DRO? We've had past issues where DROs seem to show up all of a sudden. How will we appoint the DRO?



**UWSA Council  
Meeting Minutes  
Thursday, January 30th, 2014, 6:00 PM  
Toldo 203**

**CM2014/01/30**

Mohammad Akbar: According to governing documents, CRO appoints them. Normally, an ASC does interviews but hasn't happen in last few years?

Osman: Is CRO present? If not who is presenting election report/policy?

Shaista Akbar: Motion is only to approve CRO, still needs to sign contract. Elections Policy revisions are being presented by the Chair of the Internal Policy Committee, Senator Hussein Zarif. I, acting as CRO according to by-law, will be presenting report. If the report is delayed voting will be during exams as exams are early this year.

Osman: Was CRO contacted?

Shaista Akbar: First council needs to approve CRO then it will be brought to CRO. Once it is official that CRO is hired immediately will be contacted.

Rob: You mentioned you had 4 volunteers initially, what happened to 4<sup>th</sup> individual?

Richard: Was a student at large who was at a council meeting and just put up his hand and never got back to us, so the three members were the council members appointed, Shaista, myself and Saad. 4<sup>th</sup> volunteer was invited to all meetings but didn't come.

DeJong: Question of policy, who sets the CRO's pay? Council or Board?

Omar Shahid: Budget is approved, salary of 4000 is what CRO is entitled to.



**UWSA Council  
Meeting Minutes  
Thursday, January 30th, 2014, 6:00 PM  
Toldo 203**

CM2014/01/30

DeJong: If I'd like to change salary who would I address it to?

Chair: Board.

Dejong moves amendment

"BIFRT the CRO's pay be pro-rated from the date of the passing of this motion relative to the remaining responsibilities of the CRO until April 30, 2014."

Dejong: Main motivation is equality in office. Every coordinator since full year gets full salary. Someone working a portion of the time making significant percentage more. Clubs coordinator is in office often, salary is 6000. Specifically CRO, timeline and other issues are already done, makes sense to pay them based on amount of time left.

Mohammad Akbar: Against – currently against, believes this should be done by Board, and this should be looked over carefully before any decision on pay is made. We cannot be sure how much work would go into this, this is probably going to be a huge election, would rather wait, wait until Board makes a recommendation as the financial body to us, if we even want to bring it to council. That would be my suggestion. Hold off on this until it goes to board before we make this decision.

Chair: Going to make a ruling – Council is the supreme authority but want to make sure that this decision is within our purview

DeJong: If my wording is order, am okay to changing it to "BIFRT council direct the board..."

Chair: think this is a valid point *cites Bylaws in regards to job description of Board* – does include financial matters. Council can direct Board if



**UWSA Council  
Meeting Minutes  
Thursday, January 30th, 2014, 6:00 PM  
Toldo 203**

CM2014/01/30

necessary.

Dejong: For clarification, thinks this has to happen now because if we approve CRO now salary might be a factor on whether they accept the job.

Amendment - BIFRT direct the Board to ensure that the CRO's pay be pro-rated from the date of the passing of this motion until April 2014.

Farah: I agree this should go to Board

Omar: We are talking about pay equity, really like that you brought this up. It should be noted the VPEA has been the Acting CRO, if we pay the CRO less would the remaining salary be allocated to the VPEA as she did the work?

DeJong: It is duty of VPEA to take over the duties, since it's already in the duties, don't think more pay is necessary.

Chair: I think we're getting into a place where questions are looking like debate. Let's continue to make real questions and if you want to debate, then make debate points.

Hussein Zarif: Can we overrule Board?

Chair: Council cannot override board unless Board...or if that Board interferes with council's duties. However, this is just a recommendation.

Shaista Akbar: Do not want to be paid extra but would speak against, as the way we pay is not by the hour we just pay an honorarium. Would rather pay honorarium as they're still running elections.

Hakim Kassam: Did the person who is getting hired know what they're getting paid?





**UWSA Council  
Meeting Minutes  
Thursday, January 30th, 2014, 6:00 PM  
Toldo 203**

**CM2014/01/30**

Shaista Akbar: No haven't told them, they don't know that we've chosen them. I wanted to bring it to council first to make sure we are following bylaws.

Osman: in favour – due diligence. Budget set aside for CRO is for DRO pay as well.

Rob: In favour – only a few months left, not nearly as much work as others. Secondly, only directing the board, not mandating it.

Moaz Elsayed's Proxy: What is the CRO doing that is left so we can figure out exactly what they're doing so we know how much to pay them?

Shaista Akbar: Any referenda, society elections and general election. We may think work per semester isn't much, but a lot of societies hold their elections during this time and will be coming up. We already are taking on EngSoc Voting, in addition, general election. We don't yet know which societies are going to ask. Most elections are in this semester which will be handled by the CRO.

Richard Kirkham: Amendment to amendment – at end of motion, "...relative to the remaining responsibilities of the CRO until April 30, 2014"

Amendment to amendment Approved unanimously.  
Back to discussion on amendment.

Brent: If someone doesn't do their job, is there a reduction of pay? This happens in residence if we don't do our job.



**UWSA Council  
Meeting Minutes  
Thursday, January 30th, 2014, 6:00 PM  
Toldo 203**

CM2014/01/30

Chair: No, that is illegal.

Brent: How do we make sure someone does everything?

Chair: can be in contract negotiations, Board can certainly do that.

DeJong: If I was CRO I wouldn't get paid based on what I did, would be based on the year. So if I didn't come in for the first three months, would still be paid the same amount for the year.

Chair: For reference, I as chair am paid not on an hourly basis but based on an honorarium. Structure you're describing is a contract for a specific term. Suggestion is they should be paid full budget rather than the 1500 suggested by this motion.

Chair: any other discussion on amendment? Proceed to vote:

25 in favour, 6 opposed

Chair now on to the main motion:

El Cheikh: we are paying two members of UWSA, clubs coordinator and CRO, who work a great deal, below minimum wage. Not really a debate point, but we need to make sure next year we pay our employees properly. This is unfair to Curtis, sitting here in the room, puts in so much. Must keep this in mind for next year.

*Applause for Curtis Makish, Clubs coordinator.*

Curtis: Any further debate on the motion to ratify the CRO? Seeing none:



**UWSA Council  
Meeting Minutes  
Thursday, January 30th, 2014, 6:00 PM  
Toldo 203**

**CM2014/01/30**

In favour, opposed.

Motion passes

**5.5 IPC Presentation**

Hussein Zarif

BIRT the UWSA Council adopt the Elections Policy as approved by IPC in accordance with By-Law 70.

BIFRT the attached rule regarding club endorsements be added to the Elections Policy.

BIFRT sections 14.2 be amended to reflect sequential numbering: 14.2, 14.3, 14.4, etc.

BIFRT section 14.3 be amended to "Segment Wide" instead of "executive candidates".

BIFRT section 14.2 be amended to include "(d) no single candidate can exceed the individual maximum expenditure through an affiliate group."

~~BIFRT that the following section be added: 6.12.6 The CFS and all material distributed by the CFS be considered an asset of the UWSA.~~

Motion to refer to this motion to the IPC for further review. 13 yes, 16 no, fails.

MOTION: Fix the time to which to adjourn to Tuesday, February 4<sup>th</sup> at 4:00 pm. 11 yes, 17 no.



**UWSA Council  
Meeting Minutes  
Thursday, January 30th, 2014, 6:00 PM  
Toldo 203**

**CM2014/01/30**

Hussein Zarif:

This is IPC's biggest policy of the year and we are doing this from recommendations from last year. Committee met, reviewed election reports from the last 6 years. Goal was to integrate all suggestions from these reports. Every election the CRO suggests recommendations to implement in the policy. We created this policy based on what the committee felt was appropriate.

We looked at a total of 50 recommendations, 41 applied to our mandate. 20 applied directly to the Elections Policy. We looked through all recommendations and voted, modified them based on what we found was appropriate, which is the policy in front of you. There are some major changes, which can be broken down into categories of General Recommendations, Campaign expenditures, voting days and campaign period, sanctions and disqualifications, and appeals. Those familiar with UWSA elections know there's been a lot of issues with elections in the past couple of years, and these changes make the policy more clear and address issues from the past.

Some major changes that have been brought forward are, amending the policy so that no reimbursements will be given to candidates in the election without proof of receipts, others allow campaigning – but not active campaigning - during voting days, mainly addressing leaving up posters, which was a recommendation from six years ago, creating regulations pertaining to social media, there was no mention of social media in the Policy and we have created sections to address that which will now address campaigning on facebook and twitter. We clarified the right of appeal – everyone

now has the right to appeal to council. The last and most important is disclosing evidence in regards to complaints to council and both parties, along with looking at slating.

Would like to thank members of the committee. The committee worked very hard on this policy, and we do strongly recommend passing it.

**Chair: Any questions or debate?**

Osman Raza: Thank you for your work and the detailed report. I have a few questions:

Q: 3.3 a) ASC should conduct interviews but CRO can if ASC is unable – what is the oversight for this?

A: This is pertaining to how things have been conducted in the past. The reality of the situation is the ASC does not often do interviews or cannot meet. This allows CRO to maintain integrity of election.

Q: 5.2 – just a clarification, this means electronic copies of the nominations will be on the website?

A: Yes.

Q: 5.4.1.1 – what does this mean, in regards to campus media outlets, CJAM and the Lance, who would be the oversight?

A: The CRO has discretion in this manner. Where issues are not clear, discretion of the CRO applies. CRO has the discretion to decide whether candidates have conflict or not.

Q: 6.5 – this is a big one, online or social media, how would this be monitored?

A: Past CROs highlighted need for social media. This election social media will be able to monitor and



**UWSA Council  
Meeting Minutes  
Thursday, January 30th, 2014, 6:00 PM  
Toldo 203**

CM2014/01/30

sanction based on social media. Best way to do this is make websites campaign material, and allow campaigning but not “active” campaigning.

Q: 6.12.1, assets of UWSA – what are we defining as assets of the UWSA?

A: Based on last year, in which there was controversy on whether or not individuals were assets or not. This clarifies that individuals who are members are able to endorse and participate freely in the election. Assets is limited to officers and staff of the UWSA, and is at discretion of CRO, would like to see council discuss this.

Q: In regards to President, why is president specifically named as an asset?

A: Last year the role of the president in the OUSA referendum led to discussion about President as the spokesperson of the organization, and being seen as the face of the organization. As such president cannot endorse, etc. based on the discretion of the CRO.

Q: 7.1 – says voting days must be free of active campaigning but allows materials to be posted during voting days? What are we looking to do, restricting active campaigning, is this contradictory?

A: Posters are fine, however restricts candidates from spamming facebook groups with voting and other such active campaigning.

Q: 7.5 i) – Decline to vote vs. None of the Above?

A(Shaista Akbar): None of the Above is no one on the ballot, decline to vote is I don't want to vote.

Q: - Jake DeJong: Does one of them mean abstain?

A(Shaista Akbar): Yes, I believe Decline to vote is Abstain.

*Raza resumes questions*

Q: 8.2 J) – would this be added value?

A: Yes.

Q: 4.4 – CRO has ability to give paper ballots, how would this work?

A: If the CRO determines that a member has a right to vote but are unable to, they can in confidence with the CRO present in a manner fitting fair voting practices that maintains confidentiality.

Q: will we make this clear to students?

A: If students have concerns they are told to direct it to CRO.

Ivona: I'd just like to ask if council was able to read this document and compare it with original?

Hussein Zarif: We have created track changes to make it easier to see changes and council waived notice.

Jake DeJong: Sorry I have a list of questions as well –

Q: 5.5.1 – want to know if also Board of Directors, who have financial oversight over Lance, would they be able to run?

A: This is about editorial oversight.

Q: 5.11.3 – question is if you can directly appeal to



**UWSA Council  
Meeting Minutes  
Thursday, January 30th, 2014, 6:00 PM  
Toldo 203**

**CM2014/01/30**

council, do you have to appeal to EMC first?

A: Regulations are made clear at All Candidates Meeting. All Candidates have the right to appeal. Candidates should go through the appeals process as described.

Q: 6.12.4 – In regards to individuals who are on duty considered as assets in an unapproved manner, is there an approved manner?

A: Regulations outlined in Elections Policy, campaigning must be approved by CRO. Discretion of CRO applies.

Q: 6.12.5 – in regards to President, can campaign if in their job are directed by council?

A: CRO has complete oversight over elections, if CRO feels that duty interferes with the integrity of the election, they have the right to stop it.

Q: 6.12 also says all assets will be penalized, does this mean council could not direct president in such a manner?

A: Would be a question for the Chair if council may override policy.

Chair's Clarification: Council cannot just override policy. On that basis, CRO would be able to penalize campaigns which use assets, including the president.

Q: 6.12.4 – does this mean anybody who is staff or exec would not be able to campaign as well?

A: I think that's an important point that the committee has tried to clarify through this change, where assets are specifically defined.

Q: 6.3.4 – Any media coverage may be counted as





**UWSA Council  
Meeting Minutes  
Thursday, January 30th, 2014, 6:00 PM  
Toldo 203**

CM2014/01/30

expenditure and may be subjected to penalty – my issue is this is hard to figure out, if you could clarify what this means?

A: This means that if you're a candidate in the election, and let's say you're promoting a group that you're part of and it's on the front page of the Lance and you are in the article promoting that group, since this exceeds the coverage of any other candidate, that would be subject to penalty.

Q: for clarification, this says any media coverage, not instituted, or brought forth, so if the Lance wants to write something about your group that you did not solicit, can still be penalized for it?

A: Yes, if it is more coverage than another candidate, you can be penalized for it.

Q: My concern is even if candidate doesn't wish it to happen they're still getting coverage.

A: Candidates should be aware of their responsibilities in the election.

Q: 6.9 – can you explain changes?

A: Originally allowed candidates to get reimbursed without receipts. Now there is a procedure if receipts are not submitted.

Q: My last question is 7.1.1 "campaign materials may remain posted during voting days", did IPC look at any other universities?

A: Yes, personally looked at a couple policies, all did this.



**UWSA Council  
Meeting Minutes  
Thursday, January 30th, 2014, 6:00 PM  
Toldo 203**

**CM2014/01/30**

Q: What happens when posters are around polling stations?

A: We did take that up earlier. CRO's discretion to make sure polling stations are free from campaigning.

Q: Last question, used to be that you had to send out emails, now changed to "if possible, should be"?

A: Looking at past CRO reports, there were problems with strikes and other issues which impeded on CRO's ability to send out mass emails and conduct a proper election. Main concern is if it is not possible.

Q: Says, 50 posters posted on campus or online? What discussion happened at IPC regarding students who don't have facebook, for reference we have 6000 likes on our social media page but 13,000 members.

A: Just to makes election more accessible.

Q: 13.1 – Slates – Not sure about differences between team, party or loose coalition?

A: Could be differences of definitions among candidates as to what their group entails. CRO's responsibility to clarify.

Q: Slate candidates must be done 24 hours prior to or after to All Candidate's Meeting? Could be before or after?

A: Yes, this just sets the timeline.

Q: EMC declares affiliation, not CRO. Why is it EMC rather than CRO?

A: Provides more accountability.



**UWSA Council  
Meeting Minutes  
Thursday, January 30th, 2014, 6:00 PM  
Toldo 203**

**CM2014/01/30**

Q: 14.2 a), b) and c), 1200 dollar maximum. So if two candidates affiliate, they will get 1200?

A: No, as each candidate has an individual maximum. 1200 is the maximum available to the slate.

Q: My interpretation is 2 people could spend 600 each.

A: Not the intention, says "up to" to clarify.

Q: last one – 14.2 a) and 14.4 a) lists executive twice?

A: yes, is a typo, 14.2 a) is supposed to be segment wide position.

Q: one other thing, Clubs coordinator submitted a document to create clubs rules?

A: IPC approved the Clubs coordinators' club rules but they are not in document, we should amend to include. They pertain to the way clubs endorse candidates.

Chair: If we'd like to add these rules we'll need a motion from council.

Q: There doesn't seem to be any reference to residences.

A: There has been policy in the past implemented by the CRO.

Brent: You're not allowed to be in the residences at all according to Residence policies.

Chair: any more questions?



**UWSA Council  
Meeting Minutes  
Thursday, January 30th, 2014, 6:00 PM  
Toldo 203**

**CM2014/01/30**

DeJong: Just want to thank Hussein for his hard work on this.

DeJong: Point of Information – What is the process left to approve this policy?

Mohammad Akbar: Point of Order – This is its' final approval, policies are reviewed by IPC and passed by a council vote.

Chair: That is it.

Rob Crawford: Motion to refer the elections Policy to IPC.

Seconded by: Ivona

Rob Crawford: there's clearly a lot of issues that people have with the policy, lots of questions, things that need to clarify. So I would ask that this be tabled to IPC to be brought back at our next council meeting.

El Hajj: Against: Speaking against as I was on IPC, saw the review of over six years of CRO Reports by our Chair Hussein Zarif, we spent many hours going through and reviewing this. It was mentioned that there were many issues. I don't see many issues, if there are specific issues we can review them, don't see the need to send the whole thing back to IPC.

Hussein Zarif: Against: This is one of the most important motions that we've faced. The General election is also on the agenda, if not approved, the old rules will apply. IPC might not be able to bring back the policy in time. There are a few important amendments that have been made that are necessary to make sure a fair and balanced election occurs, for example the automatic right to appeal. I don't think it's fair for someone who is off campus to

not have the right to appeal because a document was handed in. I don't think it's fair that the CRO can't properly regulate the assets of a campaign. There are a lot of things that are in this policy that are important to ensure we have a fair election.

Osman Raza: In Favour: I know IPC has put in a lot of work and give you credit for this, but given the amount of questions we have had tonight alone and there is some loose language we'd like to clear up, I think it would ensure we can clear that all up.

Brent Oneschuk: In Favour: speaking in favour because this motion does not have anything to clarify Residence.

Ivona Bilbilovska: In Favour: A lot to be clarified. I think we need to add more in there so that everyone has an understanding of what the rules actually are.

Rob Crawford: In favour: It needs to go back to IPC so council has more time to review it. It's good to hear everyone's perspective, especially a policy about the elections. I respect the hard work of IPC but I think it's important that everyone has the chance to review it. There's a lot of people who have questions or concerns that need to be clarified, we should do our due diligence.

Mohammad Akbar: Against: Speaking against, if we want to talk about being open, accountable, transparent, democratic and legitimate, the way to do that would be to amend the document and make the changes we like to see made. If we send this back to IPC for due diligence, that means another review, but it also means that this delays whether it is implemented in the election. What people aren't realizing is the results; the CRO would make up the rules for the election to be presented at the All

Candidate's Meeting. It would be much more democratic for us to actually review the questions and concerns, I think there are a few good points raised, most just need to be clarified, as most questions I think were confused on the language, I think the policy already covers those issues. The thing to remember about the policy is that it is open for a reason. There are going to be issues that come up, for example, Residence. The policies around Residence have come through the CROs, and this is something the last CRO mentioned: The policy of the University overrides UWSA policy in regards to campaigning. Because there are residence policies, I'm pretty sure no one is allowed to just walk into residence. If there are issues we should do our due diligence here in this council meeting and make amendments rather than sending it back to IPC.

Ivona Bilbilovska: has all the slate/affiliation gone to Board for review?

Hussein Zarif: The switch to a slate based system is for two reasons: to reduce waste and cost. A team of 8 people who get 800 posters each, now just get 800 posters. I think Board would be in favour of this.

Shaista Akbar: Just to clarify how we came up with this, a slate of six people would get 1200 maximum. Normally each candidate would get an amount. The reason being many CROs have had to officially declare slates, and this makes it more honest so people don't fear being affiliated and losing their monetary amount.

Ivona Bilbilovska: So when figuring out the funds, did you use a formula?

Hussein Zarif: This was a topic of debate and discussion and we did use a formula, but also understood the implications of this.

Chair: We've been talking about the implications of the policy, we should bring it back to the motion at hand.

Osman Raza: In favour: If we look at Brent's comments I don't think Residence has been properly evaluated. We should ensure proper evaluation.

Brent Oneschuk: In favour: In the past candidates have broken the election. I have had to train RAs to take pictures. I don't think it's fair that posters can be put up. There needs to be a section on Residence.

Moaz Elsayed's Proxy: against: Even last year when I was on council there are a lot of issues that this policy addresses that we faced, and it seems the best way to go forward is to pass this and continue to amend policy as we go, because right now there's a lot of issues facing the election period.

Jake DeJong: In favour: My main issue is residence, there's no punishment right now and I think the punishment should be as harsh as possible. The fact that this is not already in there is a major issue. If someone came to my house in South Windsor and campaigned, I'd want them out of the election, and I think not enough people are treating it that way.

Hussein Zarif: These changes are important, that have been proposed in the past and reviewed by a committee that is tasked by the bylaws to review policy. I agree with Brent that we need to have something to address Residence, but at the same time, unless there is something pertaining to the amendments that have been made we should go

forward. If there is something new, that can be proposed to IPC. These are six years overdue.

Mike Maher: In Favour: I did not come to speak about your elections policy am deeply troubled by certain parts of it. If you'll indulge me for a minute, I'm mostly worried about your candidate affiliation - 13.3 - it seems to lack definition, and gives an incredible amount of power and discretion to the CRO, something we haven't had great experiences with. The other thing I'm surprised at not seeing is any procedure or guideline for the Chair on how to deal with appeals. You may have remembered the kangaroo court that I presided over last year, you've done nothing to give guidelines to that. Especially when you have the candidate affiliation policy, and then for the purposes of violations and penalties, one of those slate members can oppose a violation on everybody. So they can decide that everyone is a slate and impose penalizations on them at unlimited discretion.

*Chair: you've run out of time - motion to extend time?*

*Richard Kirkham provides ten minutes.*

The way you're dividing up candidates: what happens if an entire council affiliates. Yes it could be up to the CRO, but because the penalties opposed by the CRO - I can't give you a specific quotation but I read it - can only be appealed based on whether the rules were broken or not, you can't appeal a penalty or the severity of a penalty opposed. While I appreciate the timeliness, I think there are electronic ways to take care of this, rather than pass this half-baked policy, which is what I think it is, but that's all I've got.





**UWSA Council  
Meeting Minutes  
Thursday, January 30th, 2014, 6:00 PM  
Toldo 203**

**CM2014/01/30**

Mohammad Akbar: I've heard residence brought up a lot – all of these issues can be taken care of at this council meeting. I'd like to reiterate: all of the problems that have been brought up are in the initial policy. The CRO can disqualify everyone, say they're a slate and disqualify them right now, and they almost did two years ago to Alyssa Atkins and Erik Pigeon, they were not disqualified but their campaign expenditure refunds were taken away. These are all things that happen right now and will continue to happen unless we actually step forward, write a policy, edit a policy, I see some problems with it, I have them written down, I would like to amend this as soon as we get over this referral thing so we can actually change things. This is an issue, we can solve this as a council, and if we have no regulations that means that we're giving more power to the CRO, the Elections Report is about to be passed, probably, once it's passed we're starting the election. If we don't pass the policy then the CRO can continue to make rules under their purview, get them passed at council, and continue. If not they can just bring them to the All Candidate's Meeting. As for the kangaroo court from last year, most of it occurred because of a fundamental lack of understanding of the by-laws. For example it turns out that council can in fact review documents related to appeals. All of the issues presented existed due to circumstance, we need to move forward, make amendments, do our job, and get this done.

Jake DeJong: in favour: I think Mo brings up a good point we could go through this point by point, committees do that in a quicker fashion. I have 33 points that need to be made and I have no problem making them amendment after amendment. That's



**UWSA Council  
Meeting Minutes  
Thursday, January 30th, 2014, 6:00 PM  
Toldo 203**

**CM2014/01/30**

completely fine but I don't think that makes sense. There's no part of this that touches on breaking University policy, the affiliations are an issue, people who affiliated last year would have no idea what was going on. There's so many issues, because every year the people complain that the CRO has too much power, we have no idea what they're doing, this is BS, we need to fix this. This does not fix any of these issues.

Hakim Kassam: Call the Question.

BIRT this policy be referred to IPC.

In Favour: 16

Opposed: 12

Motion passes

Hussein Zarif: Motion for a revote, I don't think councilors were able to pay full attention.

Chair: Takes precedence, requires simple majority. Don't think we can at this time.

Motion to Challenge to the chair on the matter of a revote:

In Favour: 15                      Opposed: 12

Motion to challenge the Chair passes – we are having a revote on the Motion to refer.

Motion to refer the elections policy to IPC:

In favour: 13                      Opposed: 16

Amendment fails.



**UWSA Council  
Meeting Minutes  
Thursday, January 30th, 2014, 6:00 PM  
Toldo 203**

CM2014/01/30

Chair: back to the main motion on the Elections Policy.

Osman Raza: Against: People were caught off guard, this policy has caught us off guard.

Hussein Zarif: Motion to amend to include the Clubs policy. These are the rules used in last election, deals with how they endorse candidates. This made it easier for clubs to know how to endorse candidates in elections.

Clubs Coordinator: these rules are already in place in my office, this would just make it clearer in your elections policy. The process is clubs must either email or send me a letter so that there is a time stamp. It also addresses candidates asking for information for clubs. My standard operation is to not give out information without asking the club first.

Chair: This is not a policy so how to add this is "BIFRT the attached policy on club endorsements be added to the election policy", now let's debate on this specific policy.

Jake DeJong: Friendly Amendment – Change the sentences to refer to the position rather than "I"

Osman Raza: Can we have a recess to make sure there are no other issues?

*Five minutes recess*

*Return to order:*

Chair: we are back on the amendment.

El-Cheikh: In favour: Support the clubs rules. Our Facebook page was hijacked last year, this will now



**UWSA Council  
Meeting Minutes  
Thursday, January 30th, 2014, 6:00 PM  
Toldo 203**

**CM2014/01/30**

allow the clubs coordinator make sure everything works as it is supposed to.

Jake DeJong: as this is in the purview of the Clubs Coordinator, what can the clubs coordinator do to a club?

Clubs Coordinator: My job description is vague at best. This is to support the CRO and give clubs guidance. I wouldn't be punishing clubs, this would be CRO. When it comes to election stuff, I just collect a paper trail and hand it to the CRO.

*Vote on the amendment:*

*Carried.*

Mohammad Akbar:

BIFRT sections 14.2 be amended to reflect sequential numbering: 14.2, 14.3, 14.4, etc.

BIFRT section 14.3 be amended to "Segment Wide" instead of "executive candidates".

*Amendment Carried unanimously.*

Jacob DeJong: Motion: Fix the time to which to adjourn to Tuesday, February 4<sup>th</sup> at 4:00 pm.

Seconded by: Zak Ashley

Vote:

In favour: 11                      Opposed: 17

Motion Fails.

Back to Main motion:



**UWSA Council  
Meeting Minutes  
Thursday, January 30th, 2014, 6:00 PM  
Toldo 203**

**CM2014/01/30**

Jake DeJong: Motion to Amend:

BIFRT section 14.2 be amended to include “(d) no single candidate can exceed the individual maximum expenditure through an affiliate group.”

Seconded by: Osman Raza

*Carries unanimously*

Jake DeJong: Motion to Amend: BIRT 6.1.2.5 be stricken

Seconded by Ivona Bilbilovska

Jake Dejong: the reason I think it should be stricken is it penalizes whoever the President is, it means they cannot run for a position if the CRO doesn't allow it. 6.1.2.4 says they cannot campaign when paid, 6.1.2.5 centers out the President.

Mohammad Akbar: the only reason 6.1.2.5 is being added is there is already precedence. This happened last year. This means once again it goes back to CRO's discretion.

Vote:

In favour: 9            Opposed: 15

*Amendment fails*

Jake Dejong: Motion to amend: create BIFRT that the following section be added: 6.12.6 The CFS and all material distributed by the CFS be considered an asset of the UWSA.

Jake DeJong: Pretty straightforward. All members pay into CFS.



**UWSA Council  
Meeting Minutes  
Thursday, January 30th, 2014, 6:00 PM  
Toldo 203**

**CM2014/01/30**

Hussein Zarif: Would like to see this referred as this is a new addition to the Elections Policy.

Mike Maher: Didn't show up to talk about this either. I ran in University of Toronto elections. CFS sent in campaigners from UBC to campaign against my slate. I want to warn you against this.

Mohammad Akbar: Speaking against: I have no vested interest in keeping CFS on campus other than that they'd greatly support reducing tuition fees, but very quickly in local campus politics rather than focus on UofT. In 2009 a slate called the New Alliance ran, and they were 3 cool students who ran for UWSA. But because they were progressive and believed that tuition fees were reduced, they were told by the CRO that they were considered the "CFS" slate, because everyone on this campus has been paranoid about CFS for allegations such as the ones that were just made. CFS does not interfere in Windsor's elections. I have been here for the last 3 years, have been criticized for believing in lower tuition fees, I have been called many things, all because I support lower tuition fees, and when I saw materials from the CFS, I said that doesn't look so bad. And those were the only reasons this happened. This motion says CFS should be considered an asset. That's fine, I support that, but we don't need to enshrine that into our policy because it won't be an issue. Secondly, I'd be concerned if we had a referendum to leave the CFS and suddenly you couldn't talk about CFS during such a campaign, which has happened. The slate from 2009 used to run petitions to reduce tuition fees, and were told they could not do that as it was CFS related. I don't want to see that happen to another group of students who actually cares about reducing tuition



**UWSA Council  
Meeting Minutes  
Thursday, January 30th, 2014, 6:00 PM  
Toldo 203**

**CM2014/01/30**

fees, and those are my concerns, I support an election without assets used, I think the CRO can make those judgements, I feel there's been a lot of criticism of CROs over the years, I think some of it is justified, but most of it is assuming CROs are the worst people in the world which isn't the case. They can do their jobs, we can create guidelines.

El-Cheikh: Point of Privilege – Can we have something done about individuals in the back mocking and heckling others while they speak? We are a safe space and the Human Rights code of this university prevents this kind of behavior. This happened to me last meeting as well, people have ridiculed me, I do not appreciate it. When somebody speaks you have to give them your full attention.

Chair: agreed, laughing at people is unacceptable, for those who did it, do not do it again, if it happens again you will be asked to leave.

El-Cheikh: If I am running in the election, and I want to campaign on reduced tuition fees and endorse the campaign to reduce tuition fees, I would not be able to advocate for students effectively. If this passes I would not be able to take any stance that CFS has already taken or worked on or material that they already provide, such as water bottle free.

Mohammad Akbar: Call the question.

Second: Hakim Kassam

In Favour: 21

Opposed:

Carries



**UWSA Council  
Meeting Minutes  
Thursday, January 30th, 2014, 6:00 PM  
Toldo 203**

**CM2014/01/30**

Vote:

In favour: 9                      Opposed: 17

Amendment fails

Moaz Elsayed's Proxy: call the question

Vote:

In favour: 18                      Opposed: 9

Carried

Main Motion:

BIRT the UWSA Council adopt the Elections Policy as approved by IPC in accordance with By-Law 70.

BIFRT the attached rule regarding club endorsements be added to the Elections Policy.

BIFRT sections 14.2 be amended to reflect sequential numbering: 14.2, 14.3, 14.4, etc.

BIFRT section 14.3 be amended to "Segment Wide" instead of "executive candidates".

BIFRT section 14.2 be amended to include "(d) no single candidate can exceed the individual maximum expenditure through an affiliate group."

In favour: 24                      Opposed: 7

Carried

Motion to Destroy Ballots

Carried Unanimously.





**UWSA Council  
Meeting Minutes  
Thursday, January 30th, 2014, 6:00 PM  
Toldo 203**

**CM2014/01/30**

**5.6 General Election Pre-Election Report**

Shaista Akbar

BIRT the UWSA Council adopt the Election Timeline and report as presented.

BIFRT the deadline to file appeals be extended until Tuesday, March 18<sup>th</sup> at 4:00 pm.

BIFRT the UWSA also run 5 Lance Oversight Board positions.

BIRT Mohamad El-Cheikh, Basit Ishtiaq and Julien Pacquette be permitted to continue their tenure as Student Senators.

*-Council receives election report-*

Osman Raza: Do Schulich representatives go from by-election to by-election?

Shaista Akbar: Yes.

Osman Raza: Can we extend appeal time by one day so that appeals end Monday at 6pm rather than Sunday at 6pm?

Shaista Akbar: Yes, if council feels it we can for sure.

*-council unanimously accepts this suggestion-*

Brent Oneschuk: how does international student position work? Population increases but only one seat?

Mohammad Akbar: there are three constituency seats, Residence, International and First Year. These are not based on population, unlike the faculty positions.



**UWSA Council  
Meeting Minutes  
Thursday, January 30th, 2014, 6:00 PM  
Toldo 203**

**CM2014/01/30**

Shaista Akbar: We also need to confirm Senators.

BIRT that Basit Ishtiaq, Mohamad El-Cheikh, and Julien Paquette be confirmed as senators should they be returning.

*Carried.*

**5.7 Electoral Monitoring Committee**

Shaista Akbar

BIRT the UWSA Council elect two councilors to serve on the Electoral Monitoring Committee.

Nominees: Mohamad El-Cheikh, Basit Ishtiaq

BIFRT the UWSA elect two general members for the Electoral Monitoring Committee by electronic vote within one week of a mass email to students.

BIFRT the candidates submit up to two paragraphs and a resume in their application.

Nominees: Basit Ishtiaq, Mohamad El-Cheikh, Brent Oneschuk

Candidates motivate:

Basit Ishtiaq: I have not been on a committee, would really like to get started. Hopefully everything will be good.

Mohamad El-Cheikh: I've run in 4 elections now, I have knowledge of how elections work, have put in appeals, know what goes on in the ground. Always around the CAW, always listening to candidates, and know the personal issues behind the appeals.

Brent Oneschuk: Hi I'm Brent and I feel bad because you are awesome. I have the residence perspective, I think I'd be a great fit. Would really like to see a fair



**UWSA Council  
Meeting Minutes  
Thursday, January 30th, 2014, 6:00 PM  
Toldo 203**

CM2014/01/30

election.

-vote conducted by secret ballot-

Chair: we are back to order.

Ivona Bilbilovska: I'd like to motion to adjourn.

Chair: if we adjourn we will not have an electoral monitoring committee. We have not ratified the vote yes.

Ivona Bilbilovska: Okay I'll wait.

Mike Maher: Looking at the agenda, might be efficient to introduce a wholly composed Electoral Monitoring Committee? I can't speak to the practicality of it but I'd like to advocate for that.

Basit Ishtiaq and Mohamad El-Cheikh are accepted as EMC members.

Two student at large will be approved as soon as possible based on submissions through email.

Richard Kirkham: How do we nominate between more than two candidates.

Chair: Executive can ask for one or two paragraphs, resumes.

Amendment to consider e-vote.

*Carried.*

*Main motion:*

BIFRT the UWSA elect two general members for the Electoral Monitoring Committee by electronic vote within one week of a mass email to students.

BIFRT the candidates submit up to two paragraphs



**UWSA Council  
Meeting Minutes  
Thursday, January 30th, 2014, 6:00 PM  
Toldo 203**

**CM2014/01/30**

and a resume in their application.

*Carried unanimously.*

**5.8 BDS Referendum Report**

Shaista Akbar

BIRT the UWSA Council accept the BDS Referendum Timeline for the Winter 2014 semester.

MOTION: Objection to consideration of the question.  
FAILS

Motion to call the question: FOR: 18 AGAINST: 11;

NOTED Ivona, Rob, Jake, Mike, Richard, Brent, Neena, Zachary

MOTION: Postpone this motion indefinitely.

MOTION: Call the question. FOR: 22; AGAINST; 8.

NOTED: Jake, Ivona, Rob, Neena, Zach, Brent

VOTE on whether to postpone indefinitely.

- Ruling: Vote will be by placard.
- Motion to challenge the chair:
  - For: 12
    - Noted: Jake, Ivona Rob Neena Zach Brent Mike Richard Jessica Calvin Nicole Basit
  - Against: 17
    - Noted:
- In favour of postponing: 12
  - Jake, Ivona Rob Neena Zach Brent Mike Richard Jessica Calvin Nicole
- Against postponing: 19

MOTION: Waive notice in relation to this agenda item.  
FOR: 18 AGAINST: 12 – MOTION FAILS



**UWSA Council  
Meeting Minutes  
Thursday, January 30th, 2014, 6:00 PM  
Toldo 203**

**CM2014/01/30**

**POINT OF ORDER:** Must the CRO obtain the approval of Council in order to implement the referendum timeline on a pre-approved question?

**CHAIR'S RULING:** The CRO need only give notice to Council of the referendum timeline. Council approved the referendum question at the last meeting on the condition that the lawyer approve it first. The lawyer approved it, so the question will go to the polls regardless of Council's vote on this issue tonight. Council may make recommendations as to rules to be imposed on candidates, however.

**BIFRT** the "Additional Rules and Regulations" be sent to all students via mass email, with a warning that university non-academic discipline, up to and including expulsion, could follow from their violation.

**BIFRT** that the CRO sit down with both sides of the referendum after meeting with the Human Rights Office and before the campaign period to draft a community ethics document.

**BIFRT** the meeting minutes from November 27<sup>th</sup> and this meeting be posted on the UWSA website as soon as they are approved on February 13<sup>th</sup>.

**BIFRT** the CRO contact campus police to familiarize themselves with campus safety protocols.

**FOR 21; AGAINST 3; ABSTENTION 1**

**BDS Referendum**

**Mike Maher:** I'd like to object to the consideration of question.

**Chair:** on what premise.



**UWSA Council  
Meeting Minutes  
Thursday, January 30th, 2014, 6:00 PM  
Toldo 203**

CM2014/01/30

Mike Maher: I don't know, the Human Rights Code. I can motivate if you'd like.

I think that for all the reasons that will be discussed, have been discussed, I want to do all in my procedural power to represent my constituents as VP Academic of SLS and as Mr. Kassam's proxy, That for this body to accept the referendum and report would be in violation of University's Human Rights Code and therefore objectionable.

Chair: Do you have a specific part of the Code?

Mike Maher: Anti Discrimination, protecting against creed, generally help me out here folks – origin, that fits as well. Is a vote, 2/3<sup>rd</sup>.

Motion to object to the consideration of the question.

Moved By: Mr. Kassam's Proxy(Mike Maher)

Osman Raza: Can we do this based on motions that have happened in the past?

Chair: We passed in principle.

Saad Qazi's Proxy: Point of Order – the lawyer tasked with reviewing already approved this, and the council already approved this, so as things stand the referendum question has already passed the UWSA.

Chair: this is procedural motion to reject the consideration of the question. You are free to vote against or in favour according to your conscience.

Alaa Bondok: Are we sure didn't already pass this, can we get a clarification?

Chair: Upon reviewing the motion from council on



**UWSA Council  
Meeting Minutes  
Thursday, January 30th, 2014, 6:00 PM  
Toldo 203**

**CM2014/01/30**

this referendum, you are correct, Council has already approved the referendum subject to approval from council. There is no question to object to so this is out of order. You can certainly move a motion to reconsider.

Mike Maher: Sure, it's got a lower vote threshold anyway.

Chair: I'd assume you'd move this motion had the earlier motion failed.

Mike Maher: You know me better than I do.

Presentation on the Referendum Report:

As you all know there is a referendum on Boycott Divestment and Sanctions. The Lawyer said that it was within our By-laws to hold this referendum with this referendum question.

Rules for the referendum include to prevent any oppressive or discriminatory behavior.

Discussion:

El-Cheikh: We've already passed the referendum, and a practicing lawyer has approved this. We don't need any more debate on this.

Moaz Elsayed's Proxy: Call the question.

Seconded by: Osman Raza

*A member raises a concern that they may have a proxy vote, however they do not have a proxy form. The proxy is therefore invalid.*

Mike Maher: I'd like to raise a motion to have a roll call vote.

*5 minute recess*



**UWSA Council  
Meeting Minutes  
Thursday, January 30th, 2014, 6:00 PM  
Toldo 203**

**CM2014/01/30**

Chair: We've looked through Robert's Rules, it seems some want a roll call vote, and some want a secret ballot as they don't feel safe and would be targeted. My ruling specifically on this motion is that it will be a regular vote – not a roll call or a secret ballot. The secondary vote on the main motion will be by secret ballot. That is my ruling, anyone can challenge my ruling. We are now going to proceed with the Calling the question

In favour: 18 Opposed: 11

*Noted against: Ivona, Rob, Jake, Hakim Kassam, Brent, Nina Calvin, Zak,*

Motion fails, needed 2/3

Main Motion:

Mike Maher: Motion to postpone indefinitely. Requires majority. Will be short and sweet. We've got an opinion from a PHD in political science. The timeline is questionable, but more than anything, at the end of the day, I find it deliciously ironic that the proposed outline oppressive behavior is against the rules.

El-Cheikh: Postponing would ultimately kill the referendum. The referendum targets no individuals but only policies in place to oppress a group of people. I'm genuinely displeased to hear that it's either minority rights or white rights. It's completely disrespectful. Let's not ignore student voices that we represent, the 500 signatures that were collected from undergraduate students. This is a democratic process.

Usman Sadiq's Proxy: A practicing lawyer gave us the go ahead on this it doesn't make sense to now





**UWSA Council  
Meeting Minutes  
Thursday, January 30th, 2014, 6:00 PM  
Toldo 203**

CM2014/01/30

move to the opinion of a Political Science professor.

Jake DeJong: I can explain; Dr. Cheryl Collier teaches Research Methods, a class to make sure questions are unbiased so that those asking know what they're answering. This is what her thoughts are on the referendum. Dr. Najem also concerned.

Chair: You're time's up, we need a motion to extend speaking time

Moved by Brent    Seconded by Calvin

Jake DeJong: I am in Dr. Collier's class, she says question is double barreled. Dr Miljan's concerns are the same. We should be debating the question not whether we should or should not be running. This question is not unbiased.

Max Rubin: Just wanted to raise two points. Just because a lawyer says you can do something doesn't mean you should do something. The process of a referendum, I don't know if this is the best form to debate this question, especially for those who are on residence.

Farah El-Hajj: Just a point of information, did Council not already approve the referendum question?

Chair: Yes, this is the implementation of the referendum.

Saad Qazi's Proxy: Council has already approved. This is just setting a timeline. This should have been brought up before when the referendum question was approved. All information was provided in advance, and a lot of these questions were not brought up. Dr. Collier's concerns and other concerns are debatable but this not the time and place, if you want to put up procedural blocks, and



**UWSA Council  
Meeting Minutes  
Thursday, January 30th, 2014, 6:00 PM  
Toldo 203**

**CM2014/01/30**

what you want is just stop this from happening, it's not productive and is just a waste of time.

Curtis Makish: regardless of whether this goes forward or not, there are a few things that could be a little bit better with this referendum. I'm going to have to deal with both sides. I'd like to see this where both sides are happy. I don't think postponing indefinitely is a good idea at all. I have spent a lot of sleepless nights on this, that being said I am ready to do what is necessary should I be asked of it.

Farah El-Hajj: Point of Information – doesn't this have to deal with the CRO?

Chair: Yes, but if you have any procedural ideas you can certainly raise them.

Richard Kirkham: In favour: based on the new information presented, on that basis I would be in favour of postponing. I think it exemplifies that we're not listening to each other's concerns. Based on the questions of how do we do it better than other schools, and I don't want to be a gatekeeper for legitimate student action.

Farah El-Hajj: Call the question

Seconded by: Mohamad El-Cheikh

In favour: 22                      Opposed: 8

*Noted against: Ivona, Rob, Jake, Hakim Kassam, Brent, Neena, Calvin, Zak,*

Motion to postpone indefinitely:

In favour:

Jake DeJong: Motion for a roll call vote.



**UWSA Council  
Meeting Minutes  
Thursday, January 30th, 2014, 6:00 PM  
Toldo 203**

**CM2014/01/30**

Chair: Ruled this is a regular vote.

Mike Maher: Challenge the Chair.

Chair's reasoning: This is for expediency, this is a procedural issue not the main motion. I don't think there is a problem here.

Mike Maher: Asking for the Roll Call for accountability purposes. What you're doing is public.

Brent: In favour: This is really controversial, since this has been voted in, I have been attacked on this and honestly I have tried to play both sides and I need people to know how I voted, and know exactly where I stand on this. That's just why I need my name on there.

Hussein Zarif: I have a lot of experience dealing with racism in the UWSA and on campus, and outside of campus, as a middle eastern male. So I feel like anybody asking for names to be put on is trying to further those racist stereotypes.

Jake DeJong: Point of Personal Privilege: You're directly calling me racist.

Hussein Zarif: All I'm saying is I've experienced racism on this campus and as a result of putting my name on a list it could lead to more racism, will you be doing anything about me being called a terrorist online and in person, no one's going to do that, the Human Rights Office isn't going to do that.

Jake DeJong: We're not here to represent personal views, we're here to represent students at large. Students will want to know who voted in favour and against.

Mohamad El-Cheikh: this is a fear tactic. Brent has



**UWSA Council  
Meeting Minutes  
Thursday, January 30th, 2014, 6:00 PM  
Toldo 203**

**CM2014/01/30**

faced attacks for how he voted imagine if we were faced with these attacks. If we don't stand together as colleagues, you're singling us out. We were elected to represent the students at large. If you single us out we will be attacked. We've been attacked and attacked and attacked and attacked. Whether it is online, in facebook messages because of our ethnicity, and our positions, where certain messages have been received that people will break my neck, for our positions. We should stand together in solidarity, and not be singled out. And not be interrupted with whispers.

Nicole Da Silva: Basically, if you're already feeling unsafe, that shows that this motion should be postponed indefinitely. I'm all for activism, but I don't think this is a position that we as a student body should take.

Shaun Bernstein: You're saying students will not be made to feel threatened by the motion but you're saying voting on the motion is resulting in intimidation? I just want to make sure that we're not speaking out of multiple sides of our mouth.

Michael Hershkop: you all know my view on the question itself. It seems like no one put in the effort to fix this question. I used the word vague I used the words overbroad. It seems like the authors of the resolution had no interest in making this a balanced one and I'd like to point out that my name is on the Lance and on the internet. So you're saying I'm going to hide behind my council and not be accountable, have the guts to stand up and tell your constituents what you voted for. If you're challenged based on your race, feel free to come to me and I'll stand with you. But don't hide behind your placard. Stand up for what you stand for.



**UWSA Council  
Meeting Minutes  
Thursday, January 30th, 2014, 6:00 PM  
Toldo 203**

**CM2014/01/30**

Saad Qazi's Proxy: I'm going to speak in favour of the Chair, what he's trying to do is make this process work, a lot of these points should be brought up in the main motion, instead we're wasting time. These points could be used to make amendments to the motion.

Richard Kirkham: there's no monopoly on these feelings. That's why we need transparency, why we need detailed minutes, so that all can look at everything. We could just go for and against, nothing is secret. We have to stand up for each other, but I feel transparency is the utmost in this process.

Vote: Motion to challenge the Chair.

In favour: 12                      Opposed: 17

*Noted against: Ivona, Rob, Jake, Hakim Kassam, Brent, Nina Calvin, Zak,*

*Richard,*

*Noted Absentions: Basit*

Motion to postpone indefinitely

In favour: 12 Opposed: 19

*Noted in favour: Ivona, Rob, Jake, Hakim Kassam, Brent, Neena, Calvin, Zak, Richard,*

Mike Maher: would like to request a revote.

Chair: there is a sufficient majority to decline the request.

Main Motion:

BIRT the UWSA Council accept the BDS Referendum Timeline for the Winter 2014 semester.



**UWSA Council  
Meeting Minutes  
Thursday, January 30th, 2014, 6:00 PM  
Toldo 203**

CM2014/01/30

Mike Maher: Point of Order – am I to understand correctly that this timeline was not sent out with the correct timeline? Might I request a 2/3 vote? As per notice? I got procedure on procedure on procedure.

Motion to waive notice in regards to the question:

In favour: 19    Opposed: 12

Motion fails.

Mohammad Akbar POINT OF ORDER: I'd like the justification for why we had to waive notice. From the past two years the Election Reports have on occasion been sent out in advance, however this is not required, I'd like to make sure this is actually in the by-laws. When Andre Capaldi was President two years ago, a report wasn't sent out in advance, and the CRO at the time made it clear that it wasn't required to send the report in advance. So can we clarify where specifically it says this.

Mike Maher: Mr Chair, the motion failed, so the time to bring up concerns like this is gone.

Chair: the reason I wanted to become chair, was because last year I saw procedural issues get in the way of debate, so I want to make sure that I make the right ruling. And of course you can always challenge the chair.

POINT OF ORDER: Must the CRO obtain the approval of Council in order to implement the referendum timeline on a pre-approved question?

CHAIR'S RULING: The CRO need only give notice to Council of the referendum timeline. Council approved the referendum question at the last meeting on the condition that the lawyer approve it first. The lawyer approved it, so the question



**UWSA Council  
Meeting Minutes  
Thursday, January 30th, 2014, 6:00 PM  
Toldo 203**

**CM2014/01/30**

will go to the polls regardless of Council's vote on this issue tonight. Council may make recommendations as to rules to be imposed on candidates, however.

Council has a supervisory role. We have no real power other than to make sure the referendum question is set. Anyone is free to challenge my ruling on this. At this point the process is a formality.

Curtis Makish: can we pass changes to the timeline?

Chair: Only as suggestions to the CRO.

Mike Hershkop: Who governs the spending caps?

Chair: CRO, will be explained at All Candidate's Meeting.

Jake DeJong: Would like to know if CRO will be taking a course with Human Rights Office like all other coordinators?

Curtis Makish: As clubs coordinator, received no training, but OHREA director Kaye Johnson would be great to talk to.

BIFRT the "Additional Rules and Regulations" be sent to all students via mass email, with a warning that university non-academic discipline, up to and including expulsion, could follow from their violation.

BIFRT that the CRO sit down with both sides of the referendum after meeting with the Human Rights Office and before the campaign period to draft a community ethics document.



**UWSA Council  
Meeting Minutes  
Thursday, January 30th, 2014, 6:00 PM  
Toldo 203**

**CM2014/01/30**

BIFRT the meeting minutes from November 27<sup>th</sup> and this meeting be posted on the UWSA website as soon as they are approved on February 13<sup>th</sup>.

BIFRT the CRO contact campus police to familiarize themselves with campus safety protocols.

FOR 21; AGAINST 3; ABSTENTION 1

**7 New Business**

BIRT the UWSA allocate extra resources as necessary to bring those minutes into realization, including a court typist if necessary. Mike, Brent

BIFRT the UWSA budget be posted online as well.

22-0

BIRT the UWSA endorse and ensure the continuity of the Farmer's Market. Osman, Jake – Unanimous

**8 Question Period**

**9 Adjournment**